

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/910,342	07/20/2001	Aaron Nygren	MUH-11581	2390	
24131	7590 08/24/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
LERNER AND GREENBERG, PA			LAM, TUA	LAM, TUAN THIEU	
P O BOX 248 HOLLYWO	80 OD, FL 33022-2480		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			2816		
			DATE MAILED: 08/24/200	DATE MAILED: 08/24/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/910,342	NYGREN, AARON				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Tuan T. Lam	2816	كرمهم			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addi	ress			
THE - External control	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOnsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication a period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by streply received by the Office later than three months after the med patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DN. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a r to reply within the statutory minimum of thin rindo will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tatute, cause the application to become AF	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this com BANDONED (35 U.S.C. & 133)	munication.			
Status							
1)⊠ 2a) <u></u> 3)⊟	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1 This action is FINAL . 2b) Since this application is in condition for allocated in accordance with the practice und	This action is non-final. wance except for formal matt		nerits is			
Disposit	ion of Claims			-			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	<u> </u>						
Applicat	ion Papers						
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Example The drawing(s) filed on 20 July 2001 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the contraction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ object the drawing(s) be held in abeyar rection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR				
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bursee the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been received. ents have been received in A priority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National St	tage			
Attachmen	t(s)						
1) 🛛 Notic 2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🖾 Infor	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB r No(s)/Mail Date <u>7/20/2001</u> .	Paper No(s	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-1 	52)			

Application/Control Number: 09/910,342

Art Unit: 2816

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: page 14, line 26, "L1 to Ln-1" is supposed to be --L2- Ln-1--; page 15, line 6, L1 to Ln-1" is supposed to be --L2 to Ln-1--.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, the recitation of "each of said latch stages including at least a latch device, a multiplexer, and a data output terminal" in lines 4-5 is indefinite because it is misdescriptive. As it can be seen from figure 1, the latch stage is labeled as L1, and the latch device is labeled as 6. Although, the labels are different the latch stage and the latch device are the same thing. Therefore, to say the latch stage comprises a latch device is misdescriptive and indefinite under 35USC 112, second paragraph. The recitation of "said data output terminal is supplied with data buffered in said latch device" in lines 12-13 are indefinite because it is misdescriptive. From figure 1, the data output terminal is supplied with data buffered in the preceding stage not in said latch device as recited. Correction is required. Similarly, the recitation of "said latch device of a

Art Unit: 2816

first one of said latch stages" in line 18 is also indefinite for the same reasons as noted above.

The recitation of "can be" in line 23 is indefinite because it is not a positive recitation.

In claim 2, the recitation of "can be" in line 4 is indefinite because it is not a positive recitation. The recitation of "said latch device of said latch stages" in line 5 is also indefinite for the same reasons as noted above.

In claim 3, the recitation of "can be" in line 4 is indefinite because it is not a positive recitation.

In claims 12-13 and 16-17, the recitation of "said latch device" in line 3 is also indefinite for the same reasons as noted above.

In claim 15, the recitation of "said latch device of said each of said latch stages" in line 2 is also indefinite for the same reasons as noted above.

In claims 18 and 19 the recitation of "said latch device of said latch stages" in line 3 is also indefinite for the same reasons as noted above.

In claim 20, the recitation of "can" in line 3 is indefinite because it is not a positive recitation.

Claims 4-11 and 14 are indefinite because of the technical deficiencies of claim 1.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 1-20 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. In this regard, applicant's cited prior art has been carefully considered.

Application/Control Number: 09/910,342

Art Unit: 2816

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tuan T. Lam whose telephone number is 571-272-1744. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday (7:30 am to 6:00pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, TIMOTHY P CALLAHAN can be reached on 571-272-1740. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tuan T. Lam Primary Examiner Page 4

Art Unit 2816

8/19/2004